**Female contraceptive sterilization**

**FEMALE CONTRACEPTIVE STERILIZATION**

**Background**

1. Human rights include the right of individuals to control and decide on matters of their own sexuality and reproductive health, free from coercion, discrimination and violence. This includes the right to decide whether and when to have children, and the means to exercise this right.

2. Surgical sterilization is a widely used method of contraception. An ethical requirement is that performance be preceded by the patient’s informed and freely given consent, obtained in compliance with the Guidelines Regarding Informed Consent (2007) and on Confidentiality (2005). Information for consent includes, for instance, that sterilization should be considered irreversible, that alternatives exist such as reversible forms of family planning, that life circumstances may change, causing a person later to regret consenting to sterilization, and that procedures have a very low but significant failure rate.

3. Methods of sterilization generally include tubal ligation or other methods of tubal occlusion. Hysterectomy is inappropriate solely for sterilization, because of disproportionate risks and costs.

4. Once an informed choice has been freely made, barriers to surgical sterilization should be minimised. In particular: a) sterilization should be made available to any person of adult age; b) no minimum or maximum number of children may be used as a criterion for access; c) a partner’s consent must not be required, although patients should be encouraged to include their partners in counseling; d) physicians whose beliefs oppose participation in sterilization should comply with the Ethical Guidelines on Conscientious Objection (2005).

5. Evidence exists, including by governmental admission and apology, of a long history of forced and otherwise non-consensual sterilizations of women, including Roma women in Europe and women with disabilities. Reports have documented the coerced sterilization of women living with HIV/AIDS in Africa and Latin America. Fears remain that ethnic and racial minority, HIV-positive, low-income and drug-using women, women with disabilities and other vulnerable women around the world, are still being sterilized without their own freely-given, adequately informed consent.

6. Medical practitioners must recognize that, under human rights provisions and their own professional codes of conduct, it is unethical and in violation of human rights for them to perform procedures for prevention of future pregnancy on women who have not freely requested such procedures, or who have not previously given their free and informed consent. This is so even if such procedures are recommended as being in the women’s own health interests.

7. Only women themselves can give ethically valid consent to their own sterilization. Family members including husbands, parents, legal guardians, medical practitioners and, for instance, government or other public officers, cannot consent on any woman’s or girl’s behalf.

8. Women’s consent to sterilization should not be made a condition of access to medical care, such as HIV/AIDS treatment, natural or cesarean delivery, or abortion, or of any benefit such as medical insurance, social assistance, employment or release from an institution. In addition, consent to sterilization should not be requested when women may be vulnerable, such as when requesting termination of pregnancy, going into labor or in the aftermath of delivery.

9. Further, it is unethical for medical practitioners to perform sterilization procedures within a government program or strategy that does not include voluntary consent to sterilization.

10. Sterilization for prevention of future pregnancy cannot be ethically justified on grounds of medical emergency. Even if a future pregnancy may endanger a woman’s life or health, she will not become pregnant immediately, and therefore must be given the time and support she needs to
consider her choice. Her informed decision must be respected, even if it is considered liable to be harmful to her health.

11. As for all non-emergency medical procedures, women should be adequately informed of the risks and benefits of any proposed procedure and of its alternatives. It must be explained that sterilization must be considered a permanent, irreversible procedure that prevents future pregnancy, and that non-permanent alternative treatments exist. It must also be emphasized that sterilization does not provide protection from sexually transmitted infections. Women must be advised about and offered follow-up examinations and care after any procedure they accept.

12. All information must be provided in language, both spoken and written, that the women understand, and in an accessible format such as sign language, Braille and plain, non-technical language appropriate to the individual woman's needs. The physician performing sterilization has the responsibility of ensuring that the patient has been properly counseled regarding the risks and benefits of the procedure and its alternatives.

13. The U.N. Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities includes recognition — that women and girls with disabilities are often at greater risk ... of violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation‖. Accordingly, Article 23(1) imposes the duty ―to eliminate discrimination against persons with disabilities in all matters relating to marriage, family, parenthood and relationships, on an equal basis with others, so as to ensure that:
   a) The right of all persons with disabilities who are of marriageable age to marry and to found a family ... is recognized;
   b) The rights...to decide freely and responsibly on the number and spacing of their children ...are recognized, and the means necessary to enable them to exercise these rights are provided;
   c) Persons with disabilities, including children, retain their fertility on an equal basis with others‖.

**Recommendations**

1. No woman may be sterilized without her own, previously-given informed consent, with no coercion, pressure or undue inducement by healthcare providers or institutions.

2. Women considering sterilization must be given information of their options in the language in which they communicate and understand, through translation if necessary, in an accessible format and plain, non-technical language appropriate to the individual woman's needs. Women should also be provided with information on non-permanent options for contraception. Misconceptions about prevention of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) including HIV by sterilization need to be addressed with appropriate counseling about STDs.

3. Sterilization for prevention of future pregnancy is not an emergency procedure. It does not justify departure from the general principles of free and informed consent. Therefore, the needs of each woman must be accommodated, including being given the time and support she needs, while not under pressure, in pain, or dependent on medical care, to consider the explanation she has received of what permanent sterilization entails and to make her choice known.

4. Consent to sterilization must not be made a condition of receipt of any other medical care, such as HIV/AIDS treatment, assistance in natural or cesarean delivery, medical termination of pregnancy, or of any benefit such as employment, release from an institution, public or private medical insurance, or social assistance.

5. Forced sterilization constitutes an act of violence, whether committed by individual practitioners or under institutional or governmental policies. Healthcare providers have an ethical response in accordance with the guideline on Violence Against Women (2007).
6. It is ethically inappropriate for healthcare providers to initiate judicial proceedings for sterilization of their patients, or to be witnesses in such proceedings inconsistently with Article 23(1) of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

7. At a public policy level, the medical profession has a duty to be a voice of reason and compassion, pointing out when legislative, regulatory or legal measures interfere with personal choice and appropriate medical care.
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