Dear Madam or Sir,

Submission of the Northern Territory Women's Legal Services – Religious Freedom Bills (Cth)

The three Northern Territory Women’s Legal Services (NTWLS) welcome the opportunity to make a submission to the Commonwealth Attorney-General’s Department’s package of legislative reforms on religious freedom, being; the Religious Discrimination Bill 2019 (the Bill); the Religious Discrimination (Consequential Amendments) Bill 2019; and the Human Rights Legislation Amendment (Freedom of Religion) Bill 2019.

We write this submission to express our concerns about the Bill and accompanying proposed legislation, particularly with respect to the possible impacts upon women in the Northern Territory (NT). Our submission will highlight concerns identified within the available timeframe for response, where we note that the Bill and accompanying legislation propose significant reform for comment within a comparatively small period of time.

About NTWLS

The NTWLS comprise the three women’s legal services in the NT; the Central Australian Women’s Legal Service (CAWLS), the Katherine Women’s Legal Service (KWILS), and the Top End Women’s Legal Service (TEWLS). Our shared vision is a community within which women enjoy and are entitled to legal and social justice.

The NTWLS are part of a national network of community legal centres specialising in women’s legal issues and focused on the advancement of women’s rights. Our services provide free and confidential legal information, advice, representation and advocacy to women across the Central Australian, Barkly, Katherine and Top End regions. We primarily deliver services to women experiencing or at risk of experiencing domestic and family violence, with corresponding legal issues including family law, housing and tenancy, consumer law, credit
and debt, sexual assault, discrimination, compensation for victims of crime, and child protection. Almost all women we assist experience financial disadvantage.

Our submission

Our submission will be focused on our experience of and expertise in assisting women across the NT, including Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, and women from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.

I Statements of belief

NTWLA express concern regarding the proposed statements of belief provisions in that the proposed legislation would enable statements to be made (in good faith and based on religious belief) that offend, humiliate and intimidate our client base. NTWLA submit that the threshold set out in the exceptions (i.e., for malicious statements and those that vilify or incite hatred) are too high. NTWLA has concerns that this could potentially result in bigoted views being ventilated in the public discourse without any protections for groups that are harmed by such statements where such statements do not meet the high threshold set out in the exceptions.

In our submission, the addition of such provisions would also serve to foster an unsavoury irony. The Bill is purported to be anti-discrimination legislation, yet in application, it enables certain groups of people to be discriminative. It is our submission that religious organisations, individuals and religions themselves should not be exempt from discrimination. In legislating that these groups are exempt and by mandating that these proposed laws are to override existing discrimination protections for other groups,¹ an implication is created that religion itself is discriminative. NTWLA advocates for legislation that balances all human rights concerns as opposed to preferencing one group over another.

II Health care provisions

Of particular importance to our client base, NTWLS expresses concern that the proposed subsections 8(5) and 8(6) of the Bill may disproportionately detriment women in regional and remote locations, including the NT, due to the limited availability of other health care options. Appointments with doctors, psychologists and medical specialists are notoriously inaccessible in the NT, including in both the major regional centres and in remote communities. In our experience, medical appointments are disproportionately expensive compared to other, more populous locations in Australia, the waiting lists are large for general practitioners and if you are lucky enough that a specialist resides in the NT for the health service that you require, then a waiting list is likely to be no less than three months. This is if you live in Darwin. For women more remotely isolated, in towns such as Katherine and Alice Springs or in Aboriginal communities in the Barkly or Central Australia regions, the state of being able to access health-care options is far more dire.

We are also concerned that if this Bill comes into force, such safeguards that exist for women like the referral clause in the Termination of Pregnancy Law Reform Act 2017 (NT), being section 11(2)(a) of that legislation, may no longer be lawful. It is disheartening for our services

¹ Religious Discrimination Bill 2019, s 41.
that women who have fought and continue to fight for rights to control their own body continue to be overlooked. Australia should have legislation that promotes reproductive freedom and empowers women with the rights to make their own choices about their body. This Bill does the contrary; it prioritises a health practitioner’s personal religious beliefs at the expense of women’s medical needs. It prioritises one human right at the cost of another.

**Case study – Judy’s story**

TEWLS run a monthly civil law clinic in Belyuen, an Aboriginal community 128km by road from Darwin. In Belyuen, there is one health clinic. The next health clinic is in Berry Springs, over an hour drive away. The Belyuen health clinic serves the community of 170, as well as the wider Wagait Shire. The Wagait Shire has a population of 510. The Belyuen health clinic is only open on Wednesdays between 8am and 3pm, when the visiting doctor attends.

A woman of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander descent may be hesitant to visit a medical clinic for a number of cultural reasons, especially if the visiting doctor is male. These hesitations are compounded if she is visiting due to female health reasons, such as birth control or family planning.

By way of example, if Belyuen community resident Judy, a mother of four, builds up the courage to book a medical appointment in respect of her birth control and/or family planning services needs, she’s already taken a big step. If, to Judy’s good fortune, the visiting doctor has a free appointment that Wednesday, and between all her domestic, family and cultural responsibilities, Judy finds the time to attend the appointment at the medical clinic, but then because of the visiting doctor’s religious beliefs, the doctor chooses not to assist her, Judy is likely to feel overwhelming discouragement. Further, the legislation proposed by the Bill would allow that doctor to tell Judy that birth control and/or family planning services are blasphemous and if she pursues either of these options, she will go to hell. In such a situation, Judy will feel shamed, helpless and deterred. She may lose trust in doctors and never seek medical treatment for this issue or other issues again, for herself or for other family.

**III Religious bodies exempt from religious discrimination**

NTWLS express particular concern regarding the proposed section 10 of the Bill in that it will likely have an unprecedented adverse effect on our client base. Many of the women we see experience a number of barriers, including homelessness, alcohol and drug dependence, domestic and family violence and mental illness. In turn, they depend on volunteer community services for assistance and we, in an aim to provide a more holistic model of help, refer them on to such community services. In the NT, these community services are typically services attached to a religious body, where services such as CatholicCare NT and Anglicare receive a substantial proportion of social services monies from governments, both Commonwealth and Territory.

---

2 Not her real name.
3 This approximate population number was sourced from the following website: [https://profile.id.com.au/rda-northern-territory/about?WebID=120](https://profile.id.com.au/rda-northern-territory/about?WebID=120).
4 This approximate population number was sourced from the following website: [https://profile.id.com.au/rda-northern-territory/about?WebID=240](https://profile.id.com.au/rda-northern-territory/about?WebID=240).
In our submission, section 10 of the Bill will enable religious organisations and service providers to require all employees and volunteers to be of their specific denomination, and in turn, may require that all participants or clients adhere to that denomination’s ethos. This section may further limit the availability of these much-needed community support services. As noted above, in the NT the services available to our clients are already disproportionate to the number of women seeking those services. As an example, our clients regularly resort to long grassing due to the unavailability of beds in homeless shelters. Of the homeless shelters available to women in the NT, a number of them are denominational organisations, and consequently would be captured under the proposed section 10 of the Bill. For a homosexual woman needing a place to stay, this Bill may therefore halve the options available to her, limiting her rights to shelter, to dignity, because of her sexual orientation. The Bill, in professing to be anti-discrimination legislation, enables her to be discriminated.

Further to the above, we note that the proposed section 10 of the Bill would counteract the awarding of government monies to such services, where for the majority, funding is given on the basis of providing essential services for all persons, as opposed to only those captured by a religious denomination. The provision will enable statements of religious belief made in good faith that offend, humiliate or intimidate women, or people with a disability, or LGBTIQ+ community members to be lawful, no matter what the respective state or territory legislation provides.

Conclusion

We note that there would be significant benefits in extending the consultation period for the Bill and corresponding legislation, where comment could be sought from and information given to the community on the proposed, wide-reaching, and arguably complex reforms.

We appreciate the opportunity to make this submission and to support ongoing policy and legal development for women in the NT.

Yours faithfully,

NORTHERN TERRITORY WOMEN'S LEGAL SERVICES

Vanessa Lethlean
Managing Solicitor, TEWLS
On behalf of the NTWLS