

Your proposal might suit the powerful companies who have no compunction threatening people about unproven bad debts but is not wise. Subjectivation of the people belongs to Nazis, dictators Stalinists and those without feelings and is not welcome in Australia

1. As a community member this proposal is not acceptable to myself and other Australians.

2. Attorney General George Brandis guaranteed on Q&A in November 2014 that "The mandatory metadata retention regime applies only to the most serious crime, to terrorism, to international and transnational organised crime, to pedophilia, where the use of metadata has been particularly useful as an investigative tool, only to crime, and only to the highest levels of crime. Breach of copyright is a civil wrong. Civil wrongs have nothing to do with this scheme."

3. As a result, I believe there are NO kinds of civil proceedings or circumstances in which the prohibition in section 280(1B) of the Telecommunications Act 1997 should not apply.

Then again you may allow people to be able to look up data on the electoral rolls to find addresses of the directors of these companies in a tit for tat proposal but I doubt it.

r duffy